## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Captain Jack-Olinda Landing Zone near Tower 609 for Spacer Projects

Project No.: 100495358

**Project Manager:** Dean Faller

Location: Rural north-central Shasta County, CA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

## **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including the Captain Jack-Olinda (CPJ-ODA) line, located in northeast California. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes emergency replacement of spacers on selected spans of its CPJ-ODA transmission line. To accomplish this task, WAPA intends to use a helicopter landing zone near the WAPA right-of-way (ROW) west of Tower 609 for landing and as staging areas. This area is on private property with permission from landowner.

## **Findings:**

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);

Date: 3/21/24

- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Signed: KRISTEN Digitally signed by KRISTEN DALLDORF Date: 2024.03.21 12:40:04 -0700'

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Captain Jack-Olinda Landing Zone near Tower 609 for Spacer **Projects** + **Project Site Description** The proposed landing zone is in rural Shasta County, California. The landing zone area is on disturbed land currently used as equipment staging by the landowner. The dominant habitat surrounding the project area consists of agriculture, currently planted in orchards. Anderson Creek is 0.15 miles south and the Sacramento river is 0.6 miles north. **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources Environmental Resource** No Potential for No Potential for Significance, Significance with Conditions **Impacts** 1. Historic and Cultural Resources **Explanation:** The area proposed as a landing zone is located in a previously disturbed areas adjacent to road.. The use of this sites has no potential to affect cultural resources. Natural Resources will be contacted immediately if archeological, paleontological, or historic evidence is found.

2.	Geology and Soils <u>Explanation:</u>	$\checkmark$	
	Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefore	re, no impacts would occur.	
	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation:	✓	
	Reconnaissance surveys has been completed at this site I project sites. The landing zone site is disturbed staging area required to be clean before entering the project location to p	. Construction vehicles and equipr	
	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation:		
	The Sacramento River and Anderson creek are nearby. Rip conducted a desktop survey of the project area and found not the nearby Anderson Creek and Sacramento River riparian activity if during proposed time frame for use of landing zone will be conducted up to 3 weeks prior to project activities and utilized for O&M activities if nests are located.  In addition, flight planning should include a conservation and	o resources of concern. Surrounding corridors are likely to have migrator be between March and September. It as 50 foot buffer (or 250 foot buffer disafety advisory for raptor and larger	ng orchards and y bird nesting Nesting surveys r for raptors) will be
	elevations (less than 1,00 feet) in and around the Sacramen	to River riparian corridor.	
5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish			
	(including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	[7]	
<u> </u>	Explanation:	<b>V</b>	ш
	The project landing zone site is 0.15 miles from Anderson C However, the landing zone site does not have any water box		

6.	Wetlands <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>	
	The project area does not have wetlands; therefore, no imp	acts would occur.	
	Groundwater and Aquifers  Explanation:		$\checkmark$
	Spill prevention measures, including secondary containmer site, will be used during operations. The project would not put the event of a hazardous material/waste spill, Environment notified, and the appropriate Federal, State, and local regul of the spill	provide a pathway for groundwater of and the COR will be contacted, WA	contamination. In .PA Dispatch
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas <u>Explanation:</u>		<b>V</b>
	The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is th designated areas While the Sacramento River does provid and is highly degraded relative to its former condition. All r involving fluids, lubricants, and other spill hazards would av 100-feet and utilize secondary spill containment.	de habitat for critical species, it is 0. refueling and equipment maintenand	6 miles to the north ce activities
9.	Visual Quality Explanation:	<b>/</b>	
	The visual quality would be consistent with the existing use be no significant change to the visual quality.	of the transmission right-of-way con	ridor. There would
1	0. Air Quality Explanation:		<b>✓</b>
	Air quality emissions would be from short-term helicopter us exhaust from worker's vehicles along access roads. Air qua within the emissions criteria for Shasta County AQMD. The during the project:  AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable reququality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will be AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good ope with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; appmaintained for vehicles and equipment, per EPA and/or WAAQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in ac will be controlled.  AQ-SOP-4: Dust –control measures will be implemented in Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or maintavisible dust emissions.  AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction traQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of himanagement districts.  AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days when AQ-SOP-8: Include dust-control measures such as water on AQ-SOP-9: Re-seeding of ground surfaces that have been soil.  AQ-SOP-10: Regular watering of exposed soils and unpave AQ-SOP-11: Use reasonably practicable methods and deviatmospheric emissions or discharges of air contaminants.	lity modeling emissions based on 1 contractor is expected to adopt WA uirements of those agencies having obtained. Erating condition and older equipme propriate emissions-control equipme PA air-emission requirements. Etive use; visible emissions from stative use; visible emissions from stative use; visible emissions and maintenance in at least two feet of freeboard and ash. Igh winds (as determined by local air the local Air Quality Index is expect or chemical suppressants. Significantly disturbed to prevent will access roads during maintenance.	day of work were PA's procedures jurisdiction over air nt will be replaced and will be tionary generators, as needed. I will not create any ir quality ed to exceed 150. Individual dispersion of e activities.

+

	11. Noise  Explanation:	
	There are no residences within the project location. Helicopter noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours.	
	12. Human Health and Safety	
	Explanation:	
	During project activities, all standard safety protocols would be followed. Project activities would not impact human health or safety. Contractor shall be required to follow the OSHA standards set forth in 29 CFR 1910.269. Contractor shall also adhere to the requirements under Title 8 Cal OSHA Electrical Safety Order specifically Subchapter 5 and Subchapter 7. Contractor shall maintain a safe working distance from conductors as described in the above OSHA standards. If a safe working distance cannot be maintained, a clearance shall be requested 3 days in advance.	
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements	
	The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion.  The project would not:	
√	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.	
	Explanation, if necessary:	
√	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.	
	Explanation, if necessary:	
✓	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.	
	Explanation, if necessary:	
√	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prever unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as tho of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.	
	Explanation, if necessary:	
	Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination	
	<b>Description:</b> WAPA would work closely with the landowner to provide adequate notification of construction timing.	
•	Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant	

impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Digitally signed by KRISTEN DALLDORF Date: 2024.03.21 12:40:58 -07'00' Signed: KRISTEN DALLDORF

Date: 3/21/24